

Radioelectronics&Informatics Jornal Review Form

Paper Title:

Quality	Grade from 1-5 where 1 is the lowest and 5 is the highest	Description
A. Novelty Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper.		5 = Trailblazing 4 = A pioneering piece of work 3 = One step ahead of the pack 2 = Yet another paper about 1 = Its been said many times before
E. Contributions What is the major contribution(s) of this paper?		5 = Excellent work and a major contribution 4 = Good solid work of some importance 3 = Solid work but marginal contribution 2 = Marginal work but minor contribution 1 = Very questionable work and contribution
Significance to theory and practice		5 = Very high significance 4 = Highly significant 3 = Not bad 2 = Only little significance 1 = Absolutely no relevance
B. Presentation (Readability and organization)		5 = Very good 4 = Basically well written 3 = Readable 2 = Needs considerable work 1 = Unacceptably bad
D. Recommendation Accepted/Rejected		5 = Definitely accept (very high quality) 4 = Accept (good quality) 3 = Accept if room (marginal quality) 2 = Likely reject (low quality) 1 = Definitely reject (has no merit)
Comments to author:		The paper presents an interesting experimental research targeting the ... The major contribution of the work is ... However, there are still wew questions to the authors. 1. 2.
Summary:		The paper presents an interesting experimental research ... The major contribution of the work is ...
Comments to PC members:		I recommend to accept the paper